

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/553,754	IIDA, MAKOTO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SEYED M. MALEKZADEH	1791	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SEYED M. MALEKZADEH (EXAMINER). *Smm* (3) HEE SMITH (APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE). *HHS*
 (2) STEVEN GRIFFIN (SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER). *SPB* (4) _____

Date of Interview: 06 March 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 10 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: lida et al. (US 6,334,896) and Fujikawa et al. (US 5,685,907).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: (See attached sheet).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

S. M. Malekzadeh 03/06/08
 Examiner's signature, if required

DETAILED ACTION

Interview Summary

During the interview, Examiners and Applicant's representative discussed Claims 10 and 11. Furthermore, teachings of prior art of record Iida et al. (US 6,334,896) and Fujikawa et al. (US 5,685,907) in regard to teachings of claim 10 was discussed in which the prior arts in combination disclose the claimed limitations in the instant application.

Examiners and Applicant's representative further discussed the amendments to the claims after request for continued examination under 37.CFR. 1.114

No agreement was reached at this point.

/S. M. M./

Examiner, Art Unit 1791